
The Napolitano Proposition

aired on FoxNews, January 7, 2012

What if you were silenced? Does the Government work for us? – or do we work for the Government?

What if “Democrats” and “Republicans” were two wings of the same Bird-of-Prey? Tonight –

What if elections don’t matter?

What if elections were actually useful tools for social control?

What if they just provided the populace with meaningless participation in a process that validates an Establishment that never meaningfully changes?

What if that Establishment doesn’t want and doesn’t have “the consent of the governed?”

What if the “two-party system” was actually a mechanism used to limit so-called “public opinion?”

What if there were more than two sides to every issue, but the “two parties” wanted to box you into a corner? – one of their corners?

What if there’s no such thing as “public opinion” because every thinking person has opinions that are uniquely his own?

What if what we call “public opinion” was just a manufactured narrative that makes it easier to convince people that if their views are different then there is something wrong with that? – or there’s something wrong with them?

What if the whole purpose of the “Democrat” and “Republican” parties was not to expand voters’ choices but to limit them?

What if the widely perceived differences between the “two parties” was just an illusion?

What if the heart of the Government policy remains the same no matter who’s in the White House?

What if the heart of Government policy remains the same no matter what the People want?

What if those vaunted “differences” between “Democrat” and “Republican” were actually just minor disagreements?

What if both parties just want Power and are willing to have young people fight meaningless wars just to enhance that Power?

What if both parties continue to fight the “war on drugs” just to give bureaucrats and cops bigger budgets and more jobs?

What if government policies didn’t change when government leaders did?

What if, no matter who won an election, Government stayed the same?

What if Government was really a revolving door for political hacks intent on exploiting the People once in charge?

What if both parties supported welfare war, debts, bailouts, and Big Government?

What if the rhetoric that candidates displayed on the campaign trail was dumped after electoral victory?

What if Barack Obama campaigned as an *anti-war, pro-civil-liberties* candidate and then weighed senseless wars while assaulting your rights that the *Constitution* is supposed to protect?

What if George W. Bush campaigned on a platform of non-intervention and small government and then waged a foreign policy of muscular military intervention and a domestic policy of vast Government borrowing and growth?

What if Bill Clinton declared that the era of Big Government was over, but actually just convinced “Republicans” like Newt Gingrich that they can get what they want out of Big Government too?

What if the “Republicans” went along with it?

What if Ronald Reagan spent six years running for President promising to shrink the Government, but then the Government grew while he was in the White House?

What if, notwithstanding Reagan’s and cheerfulness and Libertarian rhetoric, there was really no “Reagan Revolution” at all?

What if all this is happening again?

What if Rick Santorum is being embraced by voters who want small gov-

ernment even though Senator Santorum voted for the “Patriot Act,” for an expansion of Medicare, and for raising the debt ceiling by trillions of dollars?

What if Mitt Romney is being embraced by voters who want anyone but Barack Obama, but they don’t realize that Mitt Romney might as well be Barack Obama on everything from Warfare to Welfafre?

What if Ron Paul is being ignored by the Media, not because – as it claims – “he is unappealing or non-electable,” but because he doesn’t “fit” into the pre-manufactured public-opinion mold used by the Establishment to pigeon-hole the electorate and create a so-called “narrative” that drives Media coverage of elections?

What if the biggest difference between most candidates was not Substance but Style?

What if those stylistic differences were packaged as substantive ones to reinforce the illusion of a difference between “Democrats” and “Republicans?”

What if Mitt Romney wins and ends up continuing most of the same policies that Barack Obama promoted?

What if Barack Obama’s policies too are merely extensions of those from George W. Bush?

What if a Government that manipulated us could be fired?

What if the Government that lacked the true and knowing “consent-of-the-governed” could be dismissed?

What if it were possible to have a real “Game-Changer?”

What if we need a Ron Paul to preserve and protect our freedoms from the Government?

What if we can make elections really matter again?

What if we can do something about this?

From New York – defending Freedom ~ every night of the week

Here *FoxNews* legal analysis and former New Jersey Superior Court Judge Andrew Paolo Napolitano (1950-) has dropped the bomb so powerful that, a week later, not even his NeoCon co-workers at *Fox* much less his “competitors” at “competing” outlets have heard its explosion – “temporary deafness from the blast,” apparently.



While appreciating this analysis for what it is – a giant step not into but right up to **EL** (**Election-by-Lot**) –

Election-by-Popularity is dead – R.I.P.

– we must also see that, to the public mind, EL is yet another giant step short of **BEL** (**Blanket Election-by-Lot**). If it was Hammurabi who introduced *Fixed/Written* Law, the Athenians who introduced fairness *via* **democracy**, the Romans rule-by-law **Republic**, let it be the American States who made all of the above real and meaningful through destruction of the Elites’ Oligarchy, and that delivered by **BEL across the entire political spectrum** and not just “here and there,” “now and then.” With such democratic governing being coralled by *Constitutionally*-guided and tenure-limited persons virtually cross-sectioned from the whole population instead of just its *eagerest* of “Eager Beavers,” Government shall constrict and Freedom shall expand. **Voting doesn’t work.**

Lt. Col. Richard Ward
Greenfield, Tennessee
